

Dear Lynda, dear colleagues,

I understand the IHDS attempt to legalize the international functioning of HUMAN DESIGN, this is something that should have been done long ago.

But I do not understand why the effort to finance the work is once again only directed at the few remaining loyal professionals, whose rights would be severely limited afterwards, whereas the many black marketeers who operate worldwide can continue to give readings, teach, licence, sell HD products and software without being bothered.

No contract, no licence, no course ever included a limitation on how HD services can be offered. Analysts have been giving readings, teachers have been teaching – live, on the phone, skype, in writing, online, in their living room, with a baseball cap on or without... in any way they considered appropriate. This is exactly what they have been trained for, and many times the explanation given why licence courses are quite expensive was: “you will make money with this forever, without ever having to pay anything again.”

In my understanding Gennaro Church-Brooks licence – HUMAN DESIGN Online – was a service by Jovian Archive, and only directed at interactive online education in English. Every national organisation got their FREE online classroom, which we (the licensed professionals) could use completely at our discretion. Only for courses, lectures and events HDO would organise (which they did!), we paid a percentage and HDO then had the right to sell the recording, paying the professional a set percentage. – A ‘normal’ business deal, helpful to both parties.

To now (years afterwards) try and get analysts to pay a fee – no matter how much – for giving readings online or even on Skype has nothing to do with this contract – that, by the way, nobody of us has ever seen or has ever agreed to and that what was invented years after most of us had received our licences and contracts.

I am sure that Ra, Jovian, the IHDS... will continue to invent new licences – will every one of them limit our rights afterwards again?

*A contract is an agreement between two or more parties..., a contract is an exchange of promises...*

Even the simplest definition of ‘contract’ speaks of 2 parties, ‘between’, ‘exchange’ etc. Well – I was definitely not asked or in any way involved before I got the ‘news’, and from all I heard nobody else was either. And I do not sign contracts (especially in US law) that are detrimental to my work.

The reputation of the overall HDS organisation is really bad – at least here in my part of the world. There are endless complaints about how less and less information is sold for more and more money. You, we, Jovian – we all should be doing fantastic by now, and the economic crisis should be a booster for our work. But we teach the theory – and live something else. I have no positive response to this! And I am very grateful for human design that I can trust it.

I wish you all the best for your difficult job!  
Ilse Sendler (HDAustria)

Randy Richmond (HD Standard Board)  
Josette Lamotte (HDFrance)  
Nayla Nasra (Netherlands)  
Dr. Nicholas Caposiena (HDIItaly)  
Barbara Moser-Kranjcec (Croatia)